

## Contract Award Notice

**Project:** Moldova Rural Connectivity Project – P180153

**Loan No.:** 9672-MD (IBRD)

**Procurement Method:** QCBS as per the Procurement Regulations

**Name of Assignment:** Consulting Services for Construction Supervision of the rehabilitation of Corridor 8 “G88: Cornești-Boghenii Noi-Năpădeni-M5 and G86: G88-Dereneu-G85”

**Scope of the Contract:** The overall objective of the assignment is to supervise the implementation of civil and related works and administer the Works Contract: (Rehabilitation Works on Corridor 8: Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W1/01:Road G88: Cornești–Boghenii Noi–Năpădeni – M5, km 0+000–km 16+200 and Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W1/02: Road G88: Cornești – Boghenii Noi – Năpădeni – M5, km 16+200 –km 25+090 (Section 1) and Road G86: G88 – Dereneu – G85, km 0+000 – km 8+395 (Section2)). In doing so the Consultant will assume the role of the “Engineer” as defined in the FIDIC as amended in the Works Contract. In addition, the Consultant will assist the Client with all aspects concerning contracts administrations, payments, and compliance with the environmental and social (E&S).

**Contract duration:** 33,5 months.

### Short listed Consultants:

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
IRD Engineering S.r.l. (Italy)	yes	<p><b>Criterion (i):</b> Adequacy and quality of the proposed methodology, and work plan in responding to the Terms of Reference - <b>17.20</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Approach and Methodology: <b>5.60</b></li> <li>b) Work plan: <b>3.60</b></li> <li>c) Organization and staffing: <b>8.0</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Criterion (ii):</b> Key Experts’ qualifications and competence for the Assignment - <b>67.60</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p>	1,288,000.00 EUR	1,185,500.00 EUR	<p>Combined Score: <b>87,84</b></p> <p>Ranking: 1</p>

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) KE1 Team Leader/Engineer's Representative: <b>30.00</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>3.00</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>24.00</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>3.00</b></li> </ul> </li> <li>b) KE2 Materials Engineer: <b>19.80</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>2.00</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>16.00</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>1.80</b></li> </ul> </li> <li>c) KE3 Quantity Surveyor: <b>17.80</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
DONGSUNG Engineering Co., Ltd. (Republic of Korea)	yes	<p>education, training, and experience):  <b>2.00</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>14.40</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>1.40</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Criterion (iii):</b> Participation by nationals among proposed Key Experts – <b>0.00</b>  <b>Total score: 84,80</b></p> <p><b>Criterion (i):</b> Adequacy and quality of the proposed methodology, and work plan in responding to the Terms of Reference - <b>16.40</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Approach and Methodology: <b>5.60</b></li> <li>b) Work plan: <b>3.60</b></li> <li>c) Organization and staffing: <b>7.20</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Criterion (ii):</b> Key Experts’ qualifications and competence for the Assignment – <b>63.20</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) KE1 Team Leader/Engineer’s Representative: <b>30.00</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1,645,030.00 EUR	1,279,800.00 EUR	<p>Combined Score:  <b>87,28</b></p> <p>Ranking: 2</p>

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>education, training, and experience): <b>3.00</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>24.00</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>3.00</b></li> </ul>			
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>b) KE2 Materials Engineer: <b>13.40</b></li> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>0.80</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>11.20</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>1.40</b></li> </ul>			
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>c) KE3 Quantity Surveyor: <b>19.80</b></li> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>2.00</b></li> </ul>			

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>16.00</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>1.80</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Criterion (iii):</b> Participation by nationals among proposed Key Experts –<b>6.34</b></p> <p><b>Total score: 85,94</b></p>			
Roughton International (United Kingdom) in JV with UBM (Turkey)	yes	<p><b>Criterion (i):</b> Adequacy and quality of the proposed methodology, and work plan in responding to the Terms of Reference - <b>16.40</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Approach and Methodology: <b>5.60</b></li> <li>b) Work plan: <b>3.60</b></li> <li>c) Organization and staffing: <b>7.20</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Criterion (ii):</b> Key Experts’ qualifications and competence for the Assignment – <b>62.60</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) KE1 Team Leader/Engineer’s Representative: <b>30.00</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1,499,600.00 EUR	1,334,700.00 EUR	<p>Combined Score: <b>83,69</b></p> <p>Ranking: 3</p>

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>education, training, and experience): <b>3.00</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>24.00</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>3.00</b></li> </ul>			
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>b) KE2 Materials Engineer: <b>18.20</b></li> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>2.00</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>14.40</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>1.80</b></li> </ul>			
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>c) KE3 Quantity Surveyor: <b>14.40</b></li> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>1.80</b></li> </ul>			

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
MSV International (USA) in JV with Hong-Ik Engineering Consultants Co. (Republic of Korea) and Search Corporation SRL (Romania) (ABP Project SRL, (Moldova)(sub-consultant))	yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>11.20</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>1.40</b></li> </ul>			
		<p><b>Criterion (iii):</b> Participation by nationals among proposed Key Experts – <b>3.41</b></p> <p><b>Total score: 82,41</b></p> <p><b>Criterion (i):</b> Adequacy and quality of the proposed methodology, and work plan in responding to the Terms of Reference - <b>16.40</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Approach and Methodology: <b>5.60</b></li> <li>b) Work plan: <b>3.60</b></li> <li>c) Organization and staffing: 7.20</li> </ul> <p><b>Criterion (ii):</b> Key Experts’ qualifications and competence for the Assignment – <b>61.10</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) KE1 Team Leader/Engineer’s Representative: <b>21.90</b></li> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience):</li> </ul>	1,424,505.00 EUR	1,322,005.00 EUR	<p>Combined Score: <b>79,93</b></p> <p>Ranking: 4</p>

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
		<p><b>3.00</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>16.80</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>2.10</b></li> </ul> <p>b) KE2 Materials Engineer: <b>19.80</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>2.00</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>16.00</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>1.80</b></li> </ul> <p>c) KE3 Quantity Surveyor: <b>19.40</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>2.00</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant</li> </ul>			

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
JV between Intercontinental Consultants and Technocrats Pvt. Ltd. ICT) (India) and INSTITUT IGH, Joint – Stock Company for Research and Development in Civil Engineering (IGH) (Croatia)	yes	<p>education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>16.00</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>1.40</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Criterion (iii):</b> Participation by nationals among proposed Key Experts –<b>0.00</b></p> <p><b>Total score: 77,50</b></p> <p><b>Criterion (i):</b> Adequacy and quality of the proposed methodology, and work plan in responding to the Terms of Reference - <b>16.40</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Approach and Methodology: <b>5.60</b></li> <li>b) Work plan: <b>3.60</b></li> <li>c) Organization and staffing: <b>7.20</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Criterion (ii):</b> Key Experts’ qualifications and competence for the Assignment – <b>62.60</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) KE1 Team Leader/Engineer’s Representative: <b>30.00</b></li> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience):</li> </ul>	2,202,250.00 EUR	2,052,500.00 EUR	<p>Combined Score: <b>79,82</b></p> <p>Ranking: 5</p>

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
		<p><b>3.00</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>24.00</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>3.00</b></li> </ul>			
		<p>b) KE2 Materials Engineer: <b>12.80</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>0.80</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>11.20</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>0.80</b></li> </ul>			
		<p>c) KE3 Quantity Surveyor: 19.80</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>2.00</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant</li> </ul>			

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
		<p>education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>16.00</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>1.80</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Criterion (iii):</b> Participation by nationals among proposed Key Experts – <b>6.34</b></p>			
TECNIC Consulting Engineering Romania (Romania)	yes	<p><b>Total score: 85,34</b></p> <p><b>Criterion (i):</b> Adequacy and quality of the proposed methodology, and work plan in responding to the Terms of Reference - <b>11.60</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Approach and Methodology: <b>5.60</b></li> <li>b) Work plan: <b>2.80</b></li> <li>c) Organization and staffing: <b>3.20</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Criterion (ii):</b> Key Experts’ qualifications and competence for the Assignment – <b>57.80</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) KE1 Team Leader/Engineer’s Representative: <b>30.00</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience):</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1,625,730.17 EUR	1,523,230.17 EUR	<p>Combined Score: <b>76,16</b></p> <p>Ranking: 6</p>

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
		<p><b>3.00</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>24.00</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>3.00</b></li> </ul>			
		<p>b) KE2 Materials Engineer: <b>13.40</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>1.40</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>11.20</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>0.80</b></li> </ul>			
		<p>c) KE3 Quantity Surveyor: <b>14.40</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>1.80</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant</li> </ul>			

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
TÜMAŞ Turkish Engineering, Consulting & Contracting CO. (Turkey)	yes	<p>education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>11.20</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>1.40</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Criterion (iii):</b> Participation by nationals among proposed Key Experts – <b>6.34</b></p>			
		<p><b>Total score: 75,74</b></p> <p><b>Criterion (i):</b> Adequacy and quality of the proposed methodology, and work plan in responding to the Terms of Reference - <b>16.40</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Approach and Methodology: <b>5.60</b></li> <li>b) Work plan: <b>3.60</b></li> <li>c) Organization and staffing: <b>7.20</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Criterion (ii):</b> Key Experts’ qualifications and competence for the Assignment – <b>38.30</b></p> <p><b>Sub-criteria:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) KE1 Team Leader/Engineer’s Representative: <b>20.70</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>1.20</b></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	-	-	-

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>16.80</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>2.70</b></li> </ul>			
		<p>b) KE2 Materials Engineer: <b>8.60</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>1.40</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the sector/similar assignments): <b>6.40</b></li> <li>- Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>0.80</b></li> </ul>			
		<p>c) KE3 Quantity Surveyor: <b>9.00</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- General qualifications (general education, training, and experience): <b>0.80</b></li> <li>- Adequacy for the Assignment (relevant education, training, experience in the</li> </ul>			

Name of Consultant	Submitted Proposal	Overall technical scores	Financial Proposal price	Evaluated Financial Proposal price*	Combined score and Ranking
		sector/similar assignments): <b>6.40</b> - Relevant experience in the region (working level fluency in local language(s)/knowledge of local culture or administrative system, government organization, etc.): <b>1.80</b>  <b>Criterion (iii):</b> Participation by nationals among proposed Key Experts – <b>0.00</b>  <b>Total score: 54,70</b>			
JV between SUEZ Consulting (SAFEGE) (France) and Temelsu International Engineering Services Inc. (Turkey);	<i>no (did not submit a proposal by the deadline)</i>	-	-	-	-
*The price excluding the Designer’s Representatives’ Remuneration of the Proposal under consideration (102,500.00 EUR)					

**Consultant whose Proposal was rejected/reasons:**

**Name:** TÜMAŞ Turkish Engineering, Consulting & Contracting CO. (Turkey)

Following the completion of the Technical Proposals' evaluation process, the technical proposal submitted by the firm did not achieve the minimum qualifying technical score, in accordance with ITC 21.1 of the RFP. Consequently, the Financial Proposal was not opened and will be returned unopened after the completion of the selection process and Contract signing, in line with ITC 23 of the RFP.

**The successful Consultant:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>IRD Engineering S.r.l. (Italy)</b>
<b>Address:</b>	Lungotevere delle Navi, 30, 00196, Rome, Italy.
<b>Contract price:</b>	1 288 000,00 Euro

**Successful Consultant's Beneficial Ownership Disclosure Form:** Please see Attachment 1.

**Name and address of the Client:** S.A "Administrația Națională a Drumurilor" (National Road Administration)

Mrs. Natalia CAPATINA

Address: Md-2004, Bucuriei str. 12 A, Office 401 Chisinau, Republic of Moldova

E-mail: [procurement@andsa.md](mailto:procurement@andsa.md)

Website: [www.andsa.md](http://www.andsa.md)



## Beneficial Ownership Disclosure Form

**Request for Proposal reference No.:** RFP No: MRCP/CS/08/01

**Name of the Assignment:** Consulting Services for: Construction Supervision of Rehabilitation Works on Corridor 8 "G88: Cornești – Boghenii Noi – Năpădeni – M5 and G86: G88–Dereneu–G85"

**To: S.A "Administrația Națională a Drumurilor"**

Represented by: Mr. Stefan Popa, Interim General Director  
MD-2004, Bucuriei str. 12A, Chisinau,  
Republic of Moldova

In response to your notification of award dated March 17<sup>th</sup>, 2026, to furnish additional information on beneficial ownership: we hereby provide the following beneficial ownership information.

### Details of beneficial ownership

Identity of Beneficial Owner	Directly or indirectly holding 25% or more of the shares (Yes / No)	Directly or indirectly holding 25 % or more of the Voting Rights (Yes / No)	Directly or indirectly having the right to appoint a majority of the board of the directors or an equivalent governing body of the Consultant (Yes / No)
Last name: <b>Orsini</b> First name: <b>Paolo</b> Nationality: <b>Italian</b> Country of residence: <b>Italy</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes

**Name of the Consultant:** IRD Engineering S.r.l. (Italy)

**Name of the person duly authorized to sign the Proposal on behalf of the Consultant:** Francesco Rucci

**Title of the person signing the Proposal:** Moldova Country Manager - Authorized representative

**Signature of the person named above:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date signed** [insert date of signing] day of [insert month], [insert year]

23

MARCH

2025

**IRD Engineering S.r.l.**  
*Head Office*  
Lungotevere delle Navi, 30  
00196 Rome (Italy)

Phone: +39.06.976 11 271  
Fax: +39.06.976 11 268  
e-mail: irdeng@irdeng.com  
web: www.irdeng.com

C.F. e P.IVA: 06293511009  
REA 961245 Roma  
Cap.Soc. i.v. 300.000,00 Euro



Italian Association of  
engineering,  
architecture and  
technical economic  
consulting firms

ASSOCIATO  
**oice**  
CONFERENZA

