
MOLDOVA ROADS III B

NATIONAL ROAD ADMINISTRATION

CLARIFICATION DOCUMENT No.2

**Answers to the Requests for Clarification received from Consultants on
the Invitation for Expression of Interest**

**Consulting Services for Construction Supervision of M3
Cimișlia Bypass, km 18+700 – km 26+138**

(client's reference RSP/CS/M3/02/2026)

Issued on March 25th, 2026

Question 1

Question no. 1 – Company experience

With reference to the Shortlisting Criteria 2. Company experience: 2.1 Experience of Consultant in similar assignments:

• *”The Consultant shall have successfully and substantially (at least 80%) completed at least two (2) construction supervision assignments for road construction or rehabilitation works, each with a minimum contract value equivalent to EURO 2,500,000 (two million five hundred thousand). These assignments must have been completed either as a sole consultant or as a member of a JV/Consortium/Association, with a minimum participation share of fifty percent (50%). **Eligible assignments shall fall within the last ten (10) years**, from 1 January 2015 up to the deadline for submission of Expressions of Interest.”*

And further to the Response to Question no. 11 from Clarification Document no. 1:

*“...It is confirmed that Consultant may present, as similar experience assignments, the projects successfully implemented under FIDIC Conditions of Contract, which were mostly undertaken and substantially completed **during the past 5 years prior to deadline for submission of proposals. The start date may be a date prior to the related ‘five years period’...**”*

Please confirm that the reference period required for the Experience of the Consultant is the one included in the REoI documents, specifically **“Eligible assignments shall fall within the last ten (10) years, from 1 January 2015 up to the deadline for submission of Expressions of Interest.”**

Answer

Please follow the requirements in the REoI and disregard the term mentioned in the Clarification Document no. 1 (which is incorrect).

Question 2

With reference to the Shortlisting Criteria 2. Company experience: 2.1 Experience of Consultant in similar assignments:

“The evidence of successful completion will be considered when provided a Taking-Over Certificate or equivalent document acceptable to the Employer.”

Please clarify whether the documentary evidence demonstrating the substantial completion of the Consultant’s referenced experience must be submitted at the Expression of Interest (EoI) stage, or whether such evidence will only be required at the subsequent Request for Proposals (RfP) stage.

In the event that such supporting documentation is required at the present EoI stage, kindly confirm whether these documents may be submitted as separate annexes, given that the 50-page limitation applicable to the EoI submission is highly restrictive. Specifically, please confirm that any supporting documents included as annexes would not be counted within the 50-page limit of the main EoI document.

Answer

The REoI is express in stating that for the purpose of evaluation of EOIs, Taking-Over Certificates will be considered as the evidence of successful completion of assignments referred to by the Consultants as previous experience.

Therefore, Taking-Over Certificates shall be provided with the EOIs.

Taking-Over Certificates will not be counted within the 50-page limit of the main EoI document.

Question 3

Further to the Response to Question no. 1 from Clarification Document no. 1:

“It is required to submit CV of the Project Coordinator, which is examined to determine the availability in the firm’s internal structure of the respective permanent staff.”

1. Please confirm whether, for the position of Project Coordinator, Independent Consultants who have been continuously engaged by the Consultant for a period exceeding five (5) years across multiple assignments, including Project Coordinator, may be considered as equivalent to permanent employees, provided that such engagement demonstrates a stable and long-term professional relationship within the organization.

2. Could you please provide us with a template for the CV of the Project Coordinator or indicate which format to use for the respective CV?

Answer

The REoI sets no minimum term of employment of the Project Coordinator with the firm. Consultants are free to exercise professional judgement in selecting and proposing a Project Coordinator to suit the requirements for such a position.

The CV could be in any format.

Question 4

Regarding the limitation of the submitted EOI to 50 pages, please accept that this does not apply to the translation of the relevant documentary proof/ evidence for substantial completion of similar assignments.

Answer

Please refer to the answer to the Question no.2 above.

Question 5

Please clarify:

- if the **Project Coordinator** (CV required at the EoI stage as part of the Table 1A. Company’s profile Form) is the same as the **Project Director/Engineer** indicated under the Terms of Reference – page 15 (under Chapter 4 Consultant’s Qualification, Team Composition, Staffing Input & Qualification Requirements)

OR

- if the candidates may propose at EoI stage another member of their permanent staff for the Project Coordinator position.

Answer

Position of the Project Coordinator, mentioned in the REoI, is the same as the one which during the contract stage will be filled under the name of Project Director/Engineer, as indicated in the Terms of Reference.

Question 6

Please confirm that the candidates do not need to submit at EoI stage the EIB Covenant of Integrity (as this will be submitted by shortlisted candidates at tender submission stage).

Answer

The EIB Covenant of Integrity shall be attached to the EOI, to avoid shortlisting of an ineligible participant to the detriment of a participant that would be eligible.

Question 7

We would kindly request your clarification regarding a few points in the TOR where the classification of certain experts appears to overlap between the categories of Non-Key Staff/Technical Support Staff and Short-term Experts.

For example, the Health and Safety expertise is included both in the table on page 16 under Non-Key Staff/Technical Support Staff and in the list on page 22 under Short-term Experts. In a similar manner, is the Road Inspector indicated in the Non-Key Staff/Technical Support Staff table the same with the Highway/Pavement Engineer included in the Short-term Experts list?

In order to avoid any possible ambiguity in the interpretation of the TOR requirements, we are kindly asking the revision of these sections.

Answer

At this stage, the ToR is still a draft document and is provided to the consultants for information purpose only.

It will be further revised before being made part of the Request for Proposals at the next stage in the procurement process, including in respect of the staffing arrangements raised in the question.

In addition, at this stage, Consultants are not required to propose any expert and any provision in the ToR should not pose any impediment for the submission of the EOIs.