
Moldova Roads Rehabilitation V

NATIONAL ROAD ADMINISTRATION

CLARIFICATION DOCUMENT No.2

to Procurement Document

Road Asset Management Equipment (RAME)

(client's reference RSP/G1/01_04)

Issued on May 04, 2026

CLARIFICATIONS (nr 2) to Procurement Document (issue date: 4th of May 2026)

Procurement identification data:

Country:	<i>Republic of Moldova</i>
Project:	<i>Moldova Roads Rehabilitation V</i>
EBRD Project ID:	<i>Operation Number 54423</i>
Client:	<i>J.S.C. „NATIONAL ROAD ADMINISTRATION”</i>
Contract:	RSP/G1/01_04: Road Asset Management Equipment (RAME)
Type of Contract:	<i>Goods</i>
Procurement Method:	<i>Open competitive procedure</i> <i>Multi-Stage without Prequalification</i>
Procurement Process ID:	43190356

Q #	Questions received from Participants on ECEPP:	Answers from Client/NRA:
1.	<p>Subject: Clarification request – Lot 3, Item 3.3 – Number of camera streams/channels per analysis unit</p> <p>With reference to Lot 3, Item 3.3 – System for monitoring/establishing the intensity of road traffic on national roads, the Technical Specifications state that the Purchaser intends to acquire 15 units of video analysis systems designed for real-time monitoring of traffic objects using an RTSP camera stream, and that each unit must operate as an independent and self-contained system.</p> <p>In order to correctly size the proposed hardware and software solution, as well as the related processing capacity, storage and licensing model, we kindly request you to clarify the following point:</p> <p>For each of the 15 video analysis units, how many RTSP camera streams / video channels shall be processed simultaneously?</p>	<p>It is expected that each unit has one camera connected to it (as this will cover 15 different locations). The video analysis unit should be able to support up to 6 cameras.</p> <p>This clarification leads to Amendment nr. 2 to Tender documents, specifically is amended document: “VI - Requirements (G) f”.</p> <p>The document “VI - Requirements (G) f” is thus amended per above (under Lot 3; 3.3; 3.3.1 (a)).</p>

End of section (Clarifications round 2).

Dear Participants, FYI, below you can find (the **first** round of) Clarifications to TDs, previously distributed to Participants:

Q #	Questions received from Participants on ECEPP:	Answers from Client/NRA:
2.	Before proceeding with the forms, we would like to review the scope of work for Road Asset Management Equipment (RAME). Could you please let us know where the scope document is located in the portal?	For detailed technical specifications, please consult section 6 Requirements, the document named in ECEPP: VI - Requirements (G) f.docx
3.	please confirm which date is the deadline for submitting the Initial proposal/offer?	

	<p>On the portal closing date is stated 04/05/2026 at 13:00 UK time, but in the document invitation to Submit Proposals the deadline for submission of proposals is April 30,2026 hour 13:00 UK time. Please clarify?</p> <p>/</p> <p>The portal indicates a submission deadline of 04/05/26 at 1300 UK time. However the documentation indicates a submission deadline of 30/04/26 at 1300 UK time. Could you please confirm which is the correct deadline for submission of the initial proposal. Thanks</p>	<p>Deadline for submission of proposals was amended (extended) and is set for: May 18, 2026, hour 13:00 UK Time</p> <p>The document “Invitation to Submit Proposals” is thus amended per above.</p>
4.	<p>In order to prepare a technically compliant and financially sound proposal, we kindly request clarification regarding the quantification of the requirements defined within the Scope of Supply and Related Services.</p> <p>Specifically, we would appreciate confirmation or indication of the expected quantities, coverage, or operational scale of the activities described in the tender documentation, where such parameters are not explicitly specified. This information is necessary for bidders to perform a realistic estimation of the required resources and to determine the corresponding budget for the proposal.</p> <p>Providing such clarification would help ensure that all participants base their financial proposals on a consistent interpretation of the scope of work.</p>	<p>Per tender documents: the expected quantities, coverage or scale of activities is clearly indicated in specifications and are all well defined.</p> <p>Only the optional training does not have quantity as it is optional, hence variable and not quantifiable. Also optional training is not included in the evaluation.</p> <p>I would ask the bidder to clearly specify the section/paragraphs/lines where this information is missing or unclear and then we can provide specific clarification.</p>
5.	<p>In the view of so diverse lots to answer and to duly compose a comprehensive offer with relevant and different partners, we kindly ask to postpone the tender's deadline by 3 weeks.</p>	<p>Deadline for submission of proposals was amended (extended) and is set for: May 18, 2026, hour 13:00 UK Time</p>
6.	<p>Dear Madam/Sir,</p> <p>following our previous message and the pre-tender meeting of yesterday, we'd like to argument the motivation with a bit more detail.</p> <p>With reference to the tender procedure in question, we would kindly ask you to consider granting a three-week extension of the applicable deadlines for all Lots.</p> <p>1. During our review of the tender documentation, especially in Lot 3, we have identified a number of technical aspects that would benefit from further clarification and assessment before any meaningful and properly substantiated clarification requests can be submitted.</p> <p>In particular, we believe that additional time would be beneficial in order to achieve greater clarity on certain elements that have a significant impact on the configuration of the proposed solution, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. the approach to and origin of the deterioration models required within the Bridge Management System; b. the expected performance and scope of the road/bridge design module, with particular reference to 3D bridge modelling, structural 	<p>Deadline for submission of proposals was amended (extended) and is set for: May 18, 2026, hour 13:00 UK Time</p>

	<p>analysis, and BIM interoperability;</p> <p>c. c. the required functional scope for the management of related infrastructure assets, as well as the modalities for the availability and exchange of inventory and inspection data.</p> <p>2. More generally, Lot 3 entails a highly specialized scope and combines heterogeneous application components, which require a careful assessment in order to verify full compliance with the tender requirements. In addition, certain requirements appear open to more than one technical interpretation, with a possible impact on the overall architecture of the proposed solution. A short extension would therefore support the preparation of more technically mature, complete and fully comparable proposals, in the interest of the procedure itself, including integration with all other lots.</p> <p>Our request is intended to enable the submission of targeted and well-founded clarification questions, rather than preliminary or exploratory ones, and to ensure that the technical proposal is fully aligned with the Contracting Authority's actual expectations.</p> <p>In light of the above, we would be grateful if you could consider granting a three-week extension of the relevant deadline(s).</p> <p>Thank you in advance for your attention and consideration.</p>	
<p>7.</p>	<p>Question from Participant during online Clarification meeting held on April 14, 2026:</p> <p>Is it possible to submit Alternative Proposal, specifically the possibility of submitting alternative proposal that is not 100% compliant with the requirements but may be more cost-effective and quicker to implement.</p>	<p>Answer from Client provided during online Clarification meeting held on April 14, 2026:</p> <p>Participants may submit a base proposal per requirements, and/or alternative proposals, as Participants consider proper.</p> <p>that is exactly why Client has a two-stage bidding - to see the alternative proposals in case prospective bidders cannot match fully the set technical specifications. And then based on those proposals the Contracting Authority team will decide whether it is going to downgrade the specifications. Maybe the specifications are too complex and nobody will be able to offer the complete integrated solution. But of course, the NRA/Client is willing to find the best possible alternative and if so, is open to adjust the specification accordingly. The goal is to receive best possible solutions, with more functionalities. It is important to receive realistic system description with the</p>

		required functionality, realistic delivery time, implementation period.
8.	<p>Dear Madam/Sir, we hereby ask some questions related to Lot #1:</p> <p>1. Document “Section VI: Requirements” – Page 5 – Requirement 1.3.a “The Supplier shall inspect the Goods twice per year (every 6 months), and make good all defects of the Goods”: please confirm that, for the purposes of this requirement, “Goods” refers to the vehicle laboratory and that the activity required of the Supplier is exclusively to inspect and maintain the vehicle and its instrumentation twice a year;</p> <p>2. Page 17 – Section VI: Requirements – Requirement 1.1.2.6 “Street signage and Road markings inventory”: since this is included in the Asset Management Software lot, we ask whether this requirement means only that the software must support the asset inventory and management of horizontal and vertical signage, or whether it is intended that, in addition to the software, Lot 1 also includes the inventory (asset inventory) of these elements, to be repeated twice a year (every 6 months) by the Supplier, using its own staff;</p> <p>3. In the event that the previous point indicates that the inventory must also be delivered, we request the delivery timeline for the first survey and inventory.</p>	<p>1. Yes, confirmed. The Requirement 1.3.a is related only to Vehicle laboratory and its instruments. The "Goods" refers to vehicle laboratory, and the Supplier is required to inspect and maintain the vehicle and its instruments twice a year (every six months).</p> <p>2. Requirement means only that the software must support the asset inventory and management of horizontal and vertical signage. No additional data collection service is envisaged under this requirement.</p> <p>3. Not applicable as the previous answer specifies that no inventory has to be delivered.</p>
9.	The Historical Financial Performance is stated as being for the years 2022 to 2024, as our 2025 figures are available and our financial years run from April to March. Is it acceptable to provide the last three years audited accounts as an alternative as the most current financial reference. Thanks	The requirement on Historical Financial Performance (2022–2024) remains unchanged, as defined in the tender documents, as 2025 may not be audited or available for all Participants.
10.	Can you confirm if ownership structure is needed for subcontractors. Thanks	<p>Please follow the requirements per Form SUB-2: Subcontractor Eligibility Information, which, among other, requires copies of:</p> <p><i>5. For all legal entities: an ownership structure</i></p>
11.		Note from Client: on April 14, 2026, per Tender documents, an online clarification meeting with Participants was held. Participants can find the meeting minutes and the Client’s held presentation in a separate delivered document.

End of section (end of 1st round of Clarifications).