
REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

MOLDOVA RURAL CONNECTIVITY PROJECT - P180153

NATIONAL ROAD ADMINISTRATION

CLARIFICATION DOCUMENT No.1 TO BIDDERS' QUESTIONS ON RfB

Rehabilitation Works on Corridor 24:

Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).

Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).

Issued on August 10th, 2026

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Question 1

In order to ensure the correct drafting of our financial proposal and to avoid any ambiguities, we kindly request you to clarify the distinct total contract price (estimated value I maximum budget) allocated individually to each specific Lot.

Answer

The cost estimate per lot is as follows:

Lot 1 - 22,450,000

Lot 2 - 23,120,000

However, bidders should not rely on the disclosed cost estimate as a benchmark for pricing their bids. Instead, they should develop their financial proposals based on their own assessment of the costs of performing the contract. Bidders shall make their own assessments, take into account relevant market dynamics, appropriate estimate cost of intended materials, equipment, labor and other elements necessary for performance of the works, and compile all factors in the context of the approach the bidders intend to follow in their method of executing the respective works.

Question 2

Related to similar works requirements, please confirm if periodic repair works of national, local and communal public roads and streets may be considered as similar works.

Answer

Please refer to the Section III - Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, Part A. Qualification Criteria 4.2(a) Specific Construction & Contract Management Experience, where the requirement for similarity of the works is described.

The respective provision does not contain any requirements as per the classification used in the question raised. It only requires experience in works for construction or rehabilitation of roads with asphalt concrete pavement. The footnote 7 to the text of the respective qualification criterion further describes the qualitative and quantitative metrics of the respective qualification requirement.

Question 3

Clause 4.2(a) requires, for qualification for one contract, either:

- two similar contracts, each with a minimum value of EUR 15 million; or
- one similar contract with a minimum value of EUR 30 million.

Footnote 7 defines similar works as construction or rehabilitation of road(s) with at least two traffic lanes and asphalt concrete pavement, with the following minimum lengths:

- Lot 1: 21 km;
- Lot 2: 22 km;

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

and, for Lot 2, the rehabilitated/constructed road shall include at least one bridge with a minimum length of 24 m.

At the same time, Footnote 8 states that, in case of a Joint Venture, the value of contracts completed by its members shall not be aggregated to determine whether the minimum value of a single contract has been met.

In this respect, please clarify whether, under the option of N = 2 contracts, each with a minimum value of EUR 15 million, the minimum road length requirement of 21 km for Lot 1 or 22 km for Lot 2 must be met by each individual reference contract separately, or whether the required length may be demonstrated cumulatively by the two qualifying similar contracts.

For example, if a Bidder submits two road rehabilitation contracts, each with a value exceeding EUR 15 million and each involving roads with at least two lanes and asphalt concrete pavement, but each individual contract has a road length below 21 km / 22 km, while the combined length of the two contracts exceeds the required minimum length, will such references be considered compliant?

Please confirm whether the minimum road length:

- a) must be met by each individual similar contract; or
- b) may be demonstrated cumulatively by two qualifying similar contracts submitted under the N = 2 option.

Answer

Please refer to the Addendum No. 4 to the RfB, dated August 10th, 2026 where the requirement for the minimum road length in the referenced contracts has been deleted.

Footnote 8 applies solely to preventing the aggregation of the value of contracts completed by different members of a joint venture for compliance with the minimum contract value requirement.

Question 4

Meaning of “road(s)” in Footnote 7

Footnote 7 uses the term “road(s)”.

Please clarify whether, where one reference contract includes several road sections or several roads executed under the same contract, the total length of such sections/roads may be aggregated for the purpose of meeting the minimum length requirement of 21 km for Lot 1 or 22 km for Lot 2.

Answer

Please refer to the Addendum No. 4 to the RfB, dated August 10th, 2026 where the requirement for the minimum road length in the referenced contracts has been deleted.

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Question 5

Lot 2 Bridge Requirements within Similar Contracts

For Lot 2, Footnote 7 requires that the rehabilitated/constructed road shall include rehabilitation/construction of at least one bridge with a minimum length of 24 m.

Please clarify whether this bridge requirement must be met by each individual similar contract submitted for Lot 2, or whether it is sufficient that at least one of the submitted similar contracts includes such bridge works.

For example, under the option of two similar contracts, each with a value exceeding EUR 15 million, would it be acceptable if one contract includes the required bridge of at least 24 m, while the other contract does not include such bridge, provided that both contracts otherwise meet the road rehabilitation/construction and asphalt concrete pavement requirements?

Answer

Please refer to Addendum No. 4 to the RfB, dated August 10th, 2026, whereby the requirement has been modified.

Question 6

Ambiguity between “single contract” and Footnote 9 for Key Activities

Clause 4.2(b) requires experience in key activities, including:

- i) production and laying of asphalt concrete under one contract during the last five years; and
- ii) production and laying of cement stabilized base / cold recycling layer stabilized with cement under one contract during the last five years.

However, Footnote 9 states that the volume, number or rate of production of any key activity may be demonstrated in one or more contracts, if they were executed during the same time period.

This creates a potential ambiguity between the wording “under one contract” and Footnote 9, which appears to allow aggregation from one or more contracts.

Please clarify which interpretation will apply during evaluation:

- a) the required quantities of asphalt concrete and cement stabilized base / cold recycling must be demonstrated under one single contract only; or
- b) the required quantities may be demonstrated through one or more contracts, provided that they were executed during the same time period, in accordance with Footnote 9.

Answer

Please refer to Addendum No. 4 to the RfB, dated August 10th, 2026, where this ambiguity has been solved.

Question 7

Meaning of “same time period” in Footnote 9

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Please clarify the meaning of the expression “same time period” for the purpose of aggregating key activity quantities under Footnote 9.

In particular, please confirm whether “same time period” means:

- a) the same calendar year;
- b) the same continuous 12-month period;
- c) the same construction season;
- d) overlapping execution periods between contracts; or
- e) another period to be defined by the Employer.

This clarification is important because asphalt works and cement stabilized base / cold recycling works are often executed during specific construction seasons within multi-year contracts.

Answer

Please refer to Addendum No.4 to the RfB, dated August 10th, 2026, where the footnote 9 has been deleted.

Question 8

Example: Aggregation of CTB / Cement Stabilized Base Volumes from Overlapping Contracts

Please confirm whether the following example would be acceptable for meeting the key activity requirement for cement stabilized base / cold recycling layer stabilized with cement:

- Contract A: CTB / cement stabilized base works executed during 2020–2023;
- Contract B: CTB / cement stabilized base works executed during 2022–2024.

Since the execution periods of the two contracts overlap in 2022–2023, may the Bidder aggregate the CTB / cement stabilized base quantities executed under both contracts during the overlapping period for the purpose of meeting the required quantity?

Alternatively, may the Bidder aggregate all relevant CTB / cement stabilized base quantities executed under both contracts, provided that both contracts were under execution during an overlapping period?

Answer

Please refer to the Section III - Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, Part A. Qualification Criteria 4.2(b), Construction Experience in Key Activities, para. (ii), stating that Production and laying Cement stabilized base/cold recycling stabilized with cement layer **on a single contract** in the past five 5) years not less than: [stated quantity per lot]”. [emphasis added].

As stated in the requirement, the required rate of production shall be achieved under a single contract and shall not be combined from different contracts.

Question 9

Unit of Measurement for Cement Stabilized Base / CTB / Cold Recycling

The key activity requirement for cement stabilized base / cold recycling layer stabilized with cement is expressed in tones.

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

However, in many road contracts such works are certified in square meters or cubic meters, depending on the BoQ and the applicable measurement rules.

Please confirm whether the Employer will accept certified quantities expressed in square meters or cubic meters for this activity.

Answer

The bidders shall indicate the quantities in the required unit of measure (in this case, tonnes). The bidders could further attach the description and certification of the manner such quantities have been derived from the units of measure they have used in the referenced contracts (square meters or cubic meters).

Question 10

Conversion of Certified Quantities into Tonnes

If the original certified quantities are expressed in square meters or cubic meters, please confirm whether the Bidder may convert such quantities into tonnes based on certified layer thickness and density.

Please also confirm whether the following supporting documents will be acceptable for this purpose:

- Employer's certificates;
- Engineer's certificates;
- approved Interim Payment Certificates;
- approved quantity statements;
- final statements;
- other certified project records confirming thickness, density and executed quantities.

Answer

Please refer to the Answer to Question 9 above.

The Employer confirms that any of the documents listed in the question will be accepted as documentary evidence of compliance with the requirement.

Question 11

Ongoing Contracts and Completed Key Activities

Clause 4.2(b) refers to contracts that are substantially completed or under execution.

Please clarify whether an ongoing contract may be used to demonstrate a key activity if the specific key activity itself has already been successfully completed and certified, even if the overall contract has not yet reached substantial completion.

For example, if asphalt concrete works or CTB / cement stabilized base works have been fully completed and certified under an ongoing contract, may such certified quantities be counted for the purpose of meeting the key activity requirement?

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Answer

As expressly stated in the requirement, bidders may refer to contracts currently under execution and not only to completed contracts

Question 12

Experience as JV Member or Subcontractor

Footnote 6 states that, where the Bidder participated as a JV member or subcontractor, only the Bidder's share by value shall be considered.

Please clarify how this rule will apply to key activity quantities.

In particular, where the Bidder participated as a JV member or subcontractor, will the key activity quantity be considered based on:

- a) the Bidder's contractual percentage share in the JV;
- b) the actual physical quantity executed by the Bidder; or
- c) the certified quantity within the Bidder's own scope of works.

This clarification is necessary because, in practice, a JV member may execute a specific activity in full, even if its financial share in the JV is less than 100%.

Answer

The scope of this qualification criterion, to which the footnote 6 refers, is to qualify the bidders based on the experience of performing contracts of a certain minimum **value** (e.g. Lot 1 – 2 contracts of minimum EURO15 million each), attributable to the bidder. Therefore, the bidder will be evaluated in order to determine whether the referenced bidder's experience under a contract is of such a minimum value (15 mil Euro).

Attention is drawn to the fact that this is a matter assessed under the qualification criterion 4.2(a) Specific Construction & Contract Management Experience.

Please note that the Construction Experience in Key Activities is evaluated under the criterion 4.2(b), to which footnote 6 does not apply.

Question 13

Acceptable Supporting Documents

Please clarify which supporting documents will be accepted to demonstrate similar contract experience, minimum road length and key activity quantities, including but not limited to:

- Employer's certificates;
- Engineer's certificates;
- Taking-Over Certificates;
- completion certificates;
- approved Interim Payment Certificates;
- approved quantity statements;
- final statements;

Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

- official letters from the Employer confirming contract value, road length, bridge length and executed quantities;
- contracts and Bills of Quantities, where supported by certified payment or completion documents.

Answer

Any of the mentioned documents or a combination thereof will be accepted, provided they supply complete and accurate information.

Question 14

With reference to ITB 4.3 “Eligible Bidders” and the “One Bid Per Bidder” requirement, we kindly request your clarification regarding participation in different lots under the same RFB.

For example, if Company A submits a Bid individually for Lot 1, and the same Company A also participates as a member of a Joint Venture with Company B for Lot 2, would this be considered participation in more than one Bid under ITB 4.3?

Please confirm whether such arrangement is permitted, considering that Lot 1 and Lot 2 are separate contracts, or whether all Bids in which Company A is involved would be subject to disqualification.

Answer

No, this will not be considered participation in more than one bid as Lot 1 and Lot 2 are separate contracts.

Question 15

In accordance with ITB 7.1, we kindly request a formal clarification regarding the pricing currency to be utilized within the electronic Bill of Quantities (BoQ) sheets.

Section II - Bid Data Sheet (BDS), ITB 15.1 (Alternative B), provides that unit rates shall be quoted separately in Moldovan Leu (MDL) for local inputs and in foreign currency (e.g., EUR) for foreign inputs. In addition, the BoQ Preamble states that "Bidders shall price the Bill of Quantities in the applicable currency or currencies."

However, upon review of the issued Excel BoQ templates, we identify the following structural and financial inconsistencies:

1. The active BoQ sheets (Bills 100 to 1000) contain a single undivided input column for unit rates, without any indication of currency allocation or separation between MDL and foreign currency components.
2. The column headers ("Unit price I Pret unitar" and "Total price I Pret total") do not specify any currency denomination, nor do the sheets provide a mechanism to reflect multi-currency input at item level.
3. The "Grand Summary" sheet includes a Provisional Sum explicitly defined in EUR, while the final Bid Price is calculated through a single, direct arithmetic aggregation of Subtotal of Bills (A)+ Provisional Sum (B) +Contingency (D), without any visible exchange rate mechanism or currency conversion logic between components.

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Therefore, in order to ensure full compliance and a consistent bid submission, we kindly request the Employer to explicitly confirm ONE of the following options:

Option A All (or some BoQ) unit rates in Bills 000-1000 can be submitted in MDL.

If Option A is confirmed, please clarify the following technical issues:

A.1 How will the arithmetic aggregation on the Grand Summary sheet be processed, since a sum in MDL cannot be directly added to the Provisional Sum fixed in EUR?

A.2 Alternatively, if Bidders are required to include an exchange rate column to convert the total MDL BoQ amounts into EUR for Grand Summary purposes, which specific exchange rate and baseline date should Bidders apply for this conversion?

OR

Option B All BoQ unit rates shall be submitted in EUR only, including the conversion of all local components and costs into EUR by the Bidder.

If Option B is confirmed, please additionally clarify the following operational procedures:

B.1 Are Bidders required to declare the local currency portion (for local inputs and costs) within "Table: Alternative B" as a percentage or a fixed amount in Moldovan Leu (MDL), which will then be paid in MDL during contract execution?

B.2 Please confirm that this declared MDL portion from "Table: Alternative B" will serve as the sole baseline for applying the Price Adjustment formulas under Table A (Local Currency) to ensure correct inflation compensation for local inputs.

B.3 How should Bidders state the total bid price in the "Letter of Bid - Financial Part"? Should the total price be specified strictly as a single amount in EUR (matching the Grand Summary calculation), or should it be split into two currencies (EUR and MDL) based on the proportions breakdown from Table: Alternative B?

B.4 How shall Interim Payment Certificates (I PCs) I monthly invoices be technically structured and submitted by the Contractor? Should each monthly certificate be prepared strictly as a single gross amount in EUR (calculated directly from the EUR BoQ rates), which is then automatically split into two currency amounts by the Employer based on the Table: Alternative B percentages? Or is the Contractor required to physically issue the IPC in two separate currency amounts (EUR and MDL) simultaneously?

B.5 Which exchanges rate and baseline date shall apply for converting the EUR BoQ values into MDL for monthly payments?

Answer

No change in the bidding document is required in this respect.

Each individual BoQ line item shall be quoted in a single currency, to be chosen by the Bidder (e.g. EUR). Bidders SHALL NOT quote the same BoQ line item in more than one currency. Accordingly, NO exchange rate is required for this purpose. As instructed in footnote 3 below the BoQ table, please specify currency. Please create and use as many columns for Unit Price and Total Price as there are currencies.

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Bidders are allowed to quote in various currencies (up to three foreign + MDL) of their choice, where various BoQ line items can be priced in various currencies (e.g. BoQ 30301 – MDL; BoQ 30302 – EUR; BoQ30303 – USD).

Grand Summary shall aggregate sums of all individual BoQ items quoted in such currencies and show them separately in sub-summaries for each currency, for which purpose the bidder shall extend the Grand Summary to the number of currencies it will quote. Please see the footnote below the "Grand Summary" table.

Similarly, "Letter of Bid - Financial Part" in such case will provide several amounts in different currencies.

Payments will be made in different currencies, as quoted by the bidder, in the respective portions. Amounts due will not be converted from one currency to another for the purpose of payment (except in the case of local contractors, in order to comply with the statutory currency exchange requirements). Therefore, no exchange rate will be used at this point in the payment process (except for the local bidders quoting in other currencies than the MDL).

National bidders are free to quote in any foreign currency, however, the payment of the portion of the contract price expressed in foreign currencies will be paid in MDL, at the official exchange rate of the National Bank of Moldova (NBM) at the date of payment.

Foreign bidders are free to quote in MDL, however, they shall coordinate with their banks whether the latter could open bank accounts and process payments in such currency.

Interim Payment Certificates will be compiled to represent the sums of the works executed in the respective period, in as many currencies as such works will be priced in the BoQ.

There is no such notion in the bidding document as the “baseline date” for the purpose of converting the EUR BoQ values into MDL for monthly payments to the national Bidders. In case the bid is partially or totally expressed in foreign currency (e.g. EURO) and the payment shall be made in MDL (which is the case of a local contractor), such payment will be made at the NBM exchange rate at the date of payment.

It is confirmed that Price Adjustment formulas under Table A (Local Currency) shall be applied within the limits of the sum in Local currency indicated in the "Table: Alternative B".

Further, please note that Provisional Sum indicated in Euro will be excluded for evaluation of bid in accordance with ITB 37.1(a).

Question 16

Please clarify whether bidders shall prepare the Mobilization Schedule and Construction Schedule relative to the Commencement Date (Day 0), or whether the Employer requires the use of a specific assumed calendar date for the purposes of the Technical Proposal.

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Answer

The bidding document sets no anticipated calendar date for the Commencement Date. Therefore, Bidders are required to develop their Mobilization/Construction Schedules in days/months from the Commencement Day (Day 0).

Question 17

With reference to Section I (Instructions to Bidders), Clause 4.3 regarding the restriction on multiple Bid participation, the document states: *A firm that is a Bidder (either individually or as a JV member) shall not participate in more than one Bid ... This includes participation as a subcontractor in other Bids.*

Given that this specific procurement process comprises multiple distinct Lots under a single RFB number, we kindly request the Employer to clarify the following:

If the **same main contractor** intends to submit separate Bids for two Lots (acting as an individual Bidder/Sole Contractor for one Lot, and as the Lead Partner of a Joint Venture for another Lot), is a specific local firm **permitted** to participate as a **JV member** within the Joint Venture Bid, and simultaneously act strictly as a **subcontractor** for the same main contractor in the other individual Lot?

Please clarify whether such cross-lot participation (acting as a JV partner in one Lot and as a subcontractor in another Lot within the same bidding process) would be interpreted as a conflict of interest or a violation of multiple bidding restrictions that could result in the disqualification of the Bids involved.

Answer

Yes, such participation is permitted. Please also refer to the Answer to Question 14 above.

Question 18

The Bidder kindly requests the Employer to consider a two-week extension of the current Bid submission deadline from July 14th, 2026, to July 28th, 2026. This extension is necessitated by the high engineering and technological complexity of the project, as well as the stringent World Bank procurement requirements that mandate the simultaneous preparation of complex Rated Criteria and the formalization of binding Memorandums of Understanding with local subcontractors.

Providing this additional timeframe will allow all international bidders to accurately integrate the Employer's forthcoming final clarifications into their technical schedules and pricing assumptions, thereby ensuring a highly competitive, compliant, and transparent bidding environment in the best interest of the Project.

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Answer

Please refer to the Amendment No.3 to the RfB, dated August 3rd, 2026. The Bid submission deadline has been extended until September 2nd, 2026.

Question 19

With reference to Section I (Instructions to Bidders), Clause ITB 20.3, the bidding document states: 'All pages of the Bid where entries or amendments have been made shall be signed or initialed by the person signing the Bid.'

To ensure absolute procedural compliance, eliminate ambiguity during the evaluation stage, and optimize the document preparation workflow for a multi-lot submission, the Bidder kindly requests the Employer to confirm the acceptability of the following streamlined approach:

Is it fully acceptable to the Employer if the authorized signatory signs and/or initials only those specific pages and Bidding Forms (from Section IV) where a designated signature block, date line, or formal sign-off area is explicitly provided within the standard template (such as the Letter of Bid, Bid-Securing Declaration, and Personnel Declarations), alongside any pages containing physical handwritten amendments/corrections?

Correspondingly, we request confirmation that full page-by-page initialing/signing is not mandatory for absolutely every single page comprising the Bid.

Answer

It is confirmed that this is correct understanding of the requirements of the Clause ITB 20.3.

No full page-by-page signing of the bid is required by the bidding document.

Question 20

With reference to Section III (Evaluation and Qualification Criteria), Clause 3 (Technical Part Scoring Methodology, Technical Factor 2.a 'Local Industry Participation Strategy and Plan') and Section IV, SCHEDULE I (Local Participation), the bidding document encourages Bidders to maximize local industry participation within a 30% allowance, awarding the maximum technical score of 4 points for a subcontracting value range between 23% and 30%. To ensure correct application of this strategy, the Bidder kindly requests the Employer to clarify and confirm the following:

1. Is it fully acceptable to the Employer if a Bidder satisfies the Local Participation requirement and achieves the maximum technical score by establishing a Joint Venture (JV) where a local Moldovan company acts as a full JV Member/Partner (rather than a subcontractor) with a contract execution/revenue share of 50% (which is well above the designated minimum 23% to 30% range)?
2. If yes, is it acceptable for the Bidder to reflect this arrangement directly in SCHEDULE I (Local Participation) by specifying the local partner's role as a 'JV Member' and stating their

Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).

Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

corresponding percentage share of the planned works, without providing any financial or pricing information in the Technical Part?

Answer

Please refer to the Addendum No.4 to the RfB dated August 10th, 2026, whereby the requirement in this respect has been modified and is self-explanatory.

Question 21

According to Section III, Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, Clause 3 (Financial Situation and Performance), Bidders are required to submit audited financial statements for the last 5 years.

Currently, the company's financial statements for the year 2025 are still undergoing the statutory independent audit process, and the final audited financial report with the auditor's opinion is not yet available.

Taking into consideration Footnote 5 of the financial form FIN-3.1 (which allows for justification if the most recent financial statements are earlier than 12 months from the Bid date), would it be acceptable to submit the audited financial statements and use the financial metrics for the 5 completed and fully audited consecutive years from 01.01.2020 to 31.12.2024?

If yes, please confirm that providing an official justification letter explaining the ongoing audit status for 2025 alongside the 2020-2024 audited statements will fully satisfy the tender requirements.

Answer

It was anticipated at the commencement of this procurement process that by the date of deadline there might be no audited financial statements available yet for the preceding year. This is a frequent situation in many procurement processes. Footnote 5 of the financial form FIN-3.1 is meant to cover such situations as well.

However, at the same, considering that tender proposals will be submitted at a time significant advanced in the year 2026, it would be appropriate to have an understanding on the financial results for the 2025 (even unaudited) so as to be able to consider the trend of the financial results as compared to the latest audited period (2024) and whether such trends indicate a positive evolution of the participant's results. Therefore, participants are urged to include unaudited financials for the years 2025 as well.

Question 22

Clarification regarding ITB 4.3 – One Bid Per Bidder and participation in different Lots through Joint Ventures.

ITB 4.3 provides that a firm participating as a Bidder, either individually or as a member of a Joint Venture, shall not participate in more than one Bid.

Considering that the present procurement procedure is divided into separate Lots under the same RFB process, we kindly request the Employer to clarify whether it is acceptable for two companies to participate in different Lots through Joint Ventures with different leadership roles, as follows:

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

For Lot 1: Company A acts as the Lead Partner / Nominated Partner in Charge, while Company B acts as an ordinary JV member.

For Lot 2: Company B acts as the Lead Partner / Nominated Partner in Charge, while Company A acts as an ordinary JV member.

In this respect, please clarify whether such an alternating JV structure, with the Lead Partner / Member roles changing between Lot 1 and Lot 2, is permitted, considering that Lot 1 and Lot 2 are separate contracts, or whether this would be considered participation in more than one Bid under ITB 4.3 and may result in disqualification of both Bids.

Answer

Yes, that is permitted. Please also refer to the Answer to Question 14 and 17 above.

Question 23

Request for extension of Bid submission deadline The Bidder kindly requests the Employer to consider extending the current Bid submission deadline by two weeks, from 14 July 2026 to 28 July 2026.

This request is made due to the high engineering and technological complexity of the Project, as well as the detailed World Bank procurement requirements, including the simultaneous preparation of Rated Criteria documentation, technical schedules, pricing assumptions, and the formalization of binding Memorandums of Understanding with local subcontractors and key partners.

The requested extension would allow bidders sufficient time to properly review and integrate the Employer's forthcoming final clarifications into their technical and financial proposals.

Answer

Please refer to the Amendment No.3 to the RfB, dated August 3rd, 2026. The Bid submission deadline has been extended until September 2nd, 2026.

Question 24

Considering the fact that tender documents, technical specifications require more time to study and collect relevant information, we kindly request you to extend the deadline for bid submission by 15th of August, 2026.

Answer

Please refer to the Amendment No.3 to the RfB, dated August 3rd, 2026. The Bid submission deadline has been extended until September 2nd, 2026.

Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Question 25

In our capacity as an economic operator interested in participating in the abovementioned procurement procedure, we hereby formally submit this request to extend the deadline for the submission of tenders by 45 days.

We are putting forward this request to ensure genuine competition and to allow for the preparation of a compliant, well-structured tender, based on the following objective grounds:

- **High volume and complexity of the tender documentation:** The procurement documents contain an extensive amount of information, technical specifications, and requirements that demand a rigorous and detailed analysis to prevent any noncompliance.
- **Accurate pricing and financial stability:** Developing a balanced, competitive, and market-adapted financial proposal requires a comprehensive cost analysis and the collection of accurate quotes from potential subcontractors and suppliers.
- **Overlap with the holiday season:** The current timeline for tender preparation coincides with the summer holiday period, which temporarily limits the full operational capacity of the technical experts, partners, and third parties involved in this process.

We firmly believe that granting this extension will enable the submission of high-quality, mature proposals, ultimately benefiting the Contracting Authority by ensuring a robust competitive environment and achieving the best value for money.

Answer

Please refer to the Amendment No.3 to the RfB, dated August 3rd, 2026. The Bid submission deadline has been extended until September 2nd, 2026.

Question 26

Referring to Section I- ITB – 4.3 – „*A firm that is a Bidder (either individually or as a JV member) shall not participate in more than one Bid, except for permitted alternative Bids. This includes participation as a subcontractor in other Bids. Such participation shall result in the disqualification of all Bids in which the firm is involved. A firm that is not a Bidder or a JV member may participate as a subcontractor in more than one Bid.*”

Our understanding is that a firm can appear in only one bid — either as an individual bidder, a JV member, or a subcontractor.

- 1.1. Please confirm whether the offer is considered accepted in the situation where a bidder (company X) submits the offer for lot 1 and submits the offer in association for lot 2, more precisely JV (X+Y).
- 1.2. Please confirm whether the situation is accepted in which the bidder is the JV association between X+Y, and for lot 1 the leader is company X, and for lot 2 the leader is company Y.

Answer

Yes, that is permitted. Please also refer to the Answer to Question 14, 17 and 22 above.

Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Question 27

Referring to Section I - ITB – Section I- ITB 1.1 – General “*Note A Bidder can bid for any one lot or both lots*”

- 1.1. Please confirm how a bid is considered: offer for one lot or the bid is conditional for both lots
- 1.2. Please confirm participation in both lots is possible ONLY through a single offer covering both lots simultaneously — not through two separate offers with different association structures.

Answer

The bidders can submit bids for any of the two lots or for both lots at the bidders’ discretion.

Regarding question on composition of bidders for multiple lots, please refer to the Answers to Questions 14, 17, 22 and 26 above.

Question 28

The Bidder kindly requests the Employer to consider a two-week extension of the current Bid submission deadline from July 14th, 2026 to July 28th, 2026. This extension is necessitated by the high engineering and technological complexity of the project, as well as the stringent World Bank procurement requirements that mandate the simultaneous preparation of complex Rated Criteria and the formalization of binding Memorandums of Understanding with local subcontractors. Providing this additional timeframe will allow all international bidders to accurately integrate the Employer's forthcoming final clarifications into their technical schedules and pricing assumptions, thereby ensuring a highly competitive, compliant, and transparent bidding environment in the best interest of the Project.

Answer

Please refer to the Amendment No.3 to the RfB, dated August 3rd, 2026. The Bid submission deadline has been extended until September 2nd, 2026.

Question 29

Our engineering and estimation teams are currently working with dedication to prepare a comprehensive, fully compliant, and highly competitive technical and financial proposal. However, during the compilation of our bid components under the tight timeframe leading to the current deadline of July 14th, 2026, we have encountered several critical logistical and field challenges that directly impact our submission timeline:

1. Site Mobilization and Material Storage Allocation: In accordance with the "Site Organization" criteria under the Technical Implementation Requirements, our team is actively conducting field investigations in the Cantemir district to finalize the exact locations for our site camps, main depots,

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

and asphalt/cement plant locations. Identifying and securing technically feasible and legally compliant temporary stockpiling areas along the corridor requires additional coordination with local authorities.

2. Borrow Pit and Unsuitable Material Disposal Logistics: Due to the large volume of earthworks involved (exceeding 381,000 cubic meters), we are currently conducting geotechnical and environmental assessments to locate viable borrow pits for embankment fill. Furthermore, we require additional time to officially identify and clear authorized disposal sites for excavated unsuitable materials, ensuring full compliance with the project's Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) guidelines.

3. Summer Holiday Season Constraints: Due to the ongoing summer holiday period, many of our key international equipment manufacturers, material suppliers, and specialized subcontractors are operating with reduced staff. This has caused severe administrative delays in obtaining the firm, binding commercial quotations and verified technical data sheets required under Section III of the Bidding Documents.

4. Local Industry and Labor Collaboration: To fully satisfy the strict evaluation criteria regarding Local Participation (which holds a 50% weight in additional technical factors) and the mandatory commitment to allocate at least 30% of labor costs to local workforce, we require additional time to finalize joint-collaboration agreements with regional Moldovan suppliers and sub-contractors. To ensure the submission of a high-quality, transparent, and technically sound bid that minimizes future execution risks and delivers the best value for money to the State Road Administration, we respectfully request the Employer to kindly grant a 21-calendar-day (3 weeks) extension, moving the current bid submission deadline from July 14th, 2026, to August 4th, 2026. Thank you for your kind understanding, valuable cooperation, and prompt consideration of our request. We look forward to your favorable clarification or addendum.

Answer

Please refer to the Amendment No.3 to the RfB, dated August 3rd, 2026. The Bid submission deadline has been extended until September 2nd, 2026.

Question 30

We are in the process of preparing our bid for the above-mentioned tender and kindly request your official clarification regarding the requirements for Key Personnel listed in Section III, Evaluation and Qualification Criteria, Clause 1.4.

The staffing table specifies the following positions:

- Position No. 3: **Local Site Master**
- Position No. 4: **Local Laboratory Manager**

Could the Employer please clarify the exact intent of the word "**Local**" in the titles of these specific positions and the requirements for the current availability of proposed candidates?

Specifically, we would like to confirm the following:

1. Does the term "**Local**" imply a mandatory requirement for the candidates to be citizens of the Republic of Moldova?
2. Alternatively, does the term "**Local**" refer strictly to the operational nature of the position, meaning that the nominated Key Personnel (regardless of their nationality) must be permanently deployed 100% of the time on the construction site / project laboratory within the Republic of Moldova?

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

3. If a candidate is a foreign citizen who already holds a valid temporary residence permit (Permis de sedere provizorie) in the Republic of Moldova, will such a candidate be considered fully compliant with the "Local" requirement for these positions?

4. Is a candidate considered compliant if they are currently assigned to another ongoing project at the time of bid submission, provided that they sign Form PER-2 (Declaration of Availability) confirming their exclusive 100% time commitment to this contract strictly upon its award and starting from the Commencement Date?

Answer

In this context, a Local Specialist means a person, irrespective of nationality or place of residence, who has relevant experience working under the conditions prevailing in the Employer's country and will be available at the Site, so as to be able to perform the required tasks effectively in the local conditions from the commencement of the assignment.

The declaration to be provided in the Form PER-2 shall refer to the time the respective personnel shall be involved in the activities under the contract at hand, irrespective that at the time of bid submission the respective person continues to be deployed on another assignment.

Question 31

Reference is made to the ITB 15.1, Section II - Bid Data Sheet (BDS) of the tender documents for the subject mentioned project. Please advise us:

1. If the bidder is allowed to quote for the prices of the bid in the foreign currency (EURO or USD) alone?
2. In case the bidder is the winner of the contract can all payments currency for the job to be received in the foreign currency (EURO or USD)?

Answer

Yes. Bidders are allowed to quote the prices in up to three foreign currencies (EUR, USD or other currency).

The payment of the Contract Price Shall be made in the same currency or currency(ies) as specified in the accepted Bid. However, this is not applicable to the local entities, for which the national law mandates that payment shall be made in Moldovan Lei at the exchange rate set by the National Bank of Moldova at the day of payment. Also refer to the answer to Q-15 above.

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Question 32

If the Bidder intends to submit a bid for both lots as a combined package, should the required number of equipment be the aggregate of the minimum requirements specified for each individual lot? For example, the minimum requirement for **Compaction Equipment – Pneumatic Roller** is **3 units for Lot 1** and **2 units for Lot 2**. In this case, is the Bidder required to demonstrate the availability of **5 Pneumatic Rollers** in total?

Answer

Refer to para 1.5 Equipment (page 49) under Section III Evaluation and Qualification Criteria of the Bidding document, which is self-explanatory. To be qualified for two lots, the required number of equipment shall be aggregated. Pay attention to the footnote, where it is indicated which equipment are allowed to be shared between the two lots.

Question 33

If the Bidder intends to submit a bid for both lots as a combined package, should the required personnel be provided separately for each lot? For example, **one Environmental Specialist** is required for each lot. Accordingly, if the Bidder bids for both lots, is it required to provide **two Environmental Specialists**?

Answer

Refer to provisions of Section III Evaluation and Qualification Criteria of the Bidding Document, 1. Qualification, para. 1.4 Contractor’s Representative and Key Personnel (page 48) and 2. Evaluation of Technical Proposal, Contractor’s Representative and Key Personnel (page 52) which are self-explanatory. To be qualified for two lots, bidder shall demonstrate availability of the personnel to implement each lot.

Question 34

Lot 1 & Lot 2

In order to demonstrate compliance with the similar experience requirement for Lot 1, namely “construction or rehabilitation of at least 2-line road(s) with asphalt concrete pavement, with the following minimum length: Lot 1 – 21 km & Lot 2 – 22 km”, correlated with footnote no. 9 which allows the combination of contracts executed during the same period (“...can be demonstrated in one or more contracts combined if executed during same time period”), please clarify whether it is

Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).

Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

permitted to demonstrate the similar experience for Lot 1 and for Lot 2, by combining two (or more) distinct contracts to meet the minimum required length of 21 km/22 km.

Answer

Please refer to Addendum No.4 to the RfB, dated August 10th, 2026, where the footnote 9 has been deleted and the requirement has been modified.

Question 35

Lot 1 & Lot 2

With reference to the requirements for Item No. 3: "Local Site Master" and Item No. 4: "Local Laboratory Manager", we kindly request the Employer to clarify the specific meaning and constraints of the term "Local" used for these positions.

Are these positions strictly reserved for citizens or residents of the Republic of Moldova, or can foreign nationals (e.g., Romanian citizens) be proposed, provided they meet the specified academic and professional criteria?

Answer

Please refer to the Answer to Question 30 above.

Question 36

Considering that the tender documents and technical specifications require additional time for thorough review and the collection of the necessary supporting information, and that the clarification queries submitted by the Bidder have not yet been responded to, with further time being required to review the responses and undertake the necessary preparations upon receipt thereof, we kindly request that the deadline for bid submission be extended until 31 August 2026.

Answer

Please refer to the Amendment No.3 to the RfB, dated August 3rd, 2026. The Bid submission deadline has been extended until September 2nd, 2026.

Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Question 37

We refer to the ongoing procurement process for the above-mentioned Project and to the provisions set forth in Section II – Bid Data Sheet (BDS), ITB 7.1.

According to BDS ITB 7.1, the Bidding Document explicitly states that the *"Employer will issue final clarifications not later than 10 days prior to the date for bid submission."* Pursuant to Amendment No. 2, issued on 14 July 2026, the Bid Submission Deadline was rescheduled to **4 August 2026**.

As of today, **23 July 2026**, the Bidders have not yet received the Employer's official responses to the clarification requests that were submitted within the prescribed deadline. We understand that the Employer is expected to issue these responses shortly. However, given the considerable number of clarification requests submitted, Bidders will require sufficient time to review the responses, assess their impact on the bidding documents, and incorporate the necessary adjustments into both their Technical and Financial Proposals.

In order to ensure fair competition, realistic pricing, and the preparation of fully responsive bids, we kindly request the Employer to extend the Bid Submission Deadline until **14 August 2026**.

Answer

Please refer to the Amendment No.3 to the RfB, dated August 3rd, 2026. The Bid submission deadline has been extended until September 2nd, 2026.

Question 38

Referring to Section I- ITB – 4.3 – „*A firm that is a Bidder (either individually or as a JV member) shall not participate in more than one Bid, except for permitted alternative Bids. This includes participation as a subcontractor in other Bids. Such participation shall result in the disqualification of all Bids in which the firm is involved. A firm that is not a Bidder or a JV member may participate as a subcontractor in more than one Bid.*”

Our understanding is that a firm can appear in only one bid — either as an individual bidder, a JV member, or a subcontractor.

- 1.1. Please confirm whether the offer is considered accepted in the situation where a bidder (company X) submits the offer for lot 1 and submits the offer in association for lot 2, more precisely JV (X+Y).
- 1.2. Please confirm whether the situation is accepted in which the bidder is the JV association between X+Y, and for lot 1 the leader is company X, and for lot 2 the leader is company Y.

Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).

Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Answer

Please refer to the Answer to Question 14, 17, 22, 26 above.

Question 39

Referring to Section I- ITB – Section I- ITB 1.1 – General “*Note A Bidder can bid for any one lot or both lots*”

- 1.1. Please confirm how a bid is considered: offer for one lot or the bid is conditional for both lots
- 1.2. Please confirm participation in both lots is possible ONLY through a single offer covering both lots simultaneously — not through two separate offers with different association structures.

Answer

Please refer to the Answer to Question 27 above.

Question 40

The Bidder kindly requests the Employer to consider a two-week extension of the current Bid submission deadline from 4th of August, 2026 to August 18th, 2026. This extension is necessitated by the high engineering and technological complexity of the project, as well as the stringent World Bank procurement requirements that mandate the simultaneous preparation of complex Rated Criteria and the formalization of binding Memorandums of Understanding with local subcontractors. Providing this additional timeframe will allow all international bidders to accurately integrate the Employer's forthcoming final clarifications into their technical schedules and pricing assumptions, thereby ensuring a highly competitive, compliant, and transparent bidding environment in the best interest of the Project.

Answer

Please refer to the Amendment No.3 to the RfB, dated August 3rd, 2026. The Bid submission deadline has been extended until September 2nd, 2026.

“
Lot 1: Contract MRCP/W2/01: Road G133: G132 – Tartaul – Gotești – R34, km 0+000 - km 14+920 (Section 1) and
Road G132: R35 – Baimaclia – Taraclia de Salcie – R32, km 14+220 - km 20+350 (Section 2).
Lot 2: Contract MRCP/W2/02: Road G131: R35 - Bobocica - G132, km 0+000 - km 12+000 (Section 1) and
Road G131: R35 – Bobocica - G132, km 12+000-km 22+110 (Section 2).”

Question 41

Lot 1. 5. PROVISIONAL SUMS

5.1. Currency Clarification for Provisional Sums:

In the Bill: Provisional Sum summary table, Item PS001 (€72,000.00) and Item 10103 (€400,000.00) show a total of 472,000.00. In order to avoid any bidding inconsistencies, please explicitly confirm the currency in which these provisional sums are fixed and must be integrated into the financial proposal.

Answer

The currency of the Bill: Provisional Sum shall be EUR, irrespective of the currency(ies) chosen by the bidder to quote prices in the rest of the bills.

Bidders are invited to read this answer in conjunction with the Answer to the Question 15 above.

Question 42

Lot 2. 4. PROVISIONAL SUMS

4.1. Currency Clarification for Provisional Sums:

In the summary table for Specified Provisional Sums not included in subtotal of bills, Item PS001 (€72,000.00) and Item 10103 (€400,000.00) show a total of 472,000.00. To ensure financial compliance, please explicitly confirm the contract currency in which these provisional sums are fixed and must be carried over into the final financial bid forms.

Answer

Please refer to the Answer to the Question 41 above.